

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/099,690	03/15/2002	John H. Stevens	HRT-293	7079
27777	7590 12/23/2004		EXAM	INER
PHILIP S. JOHNSON			ISABELLA, DAVID J	
JOHNSON &	z JOHNSON			
ONE JOHNS	ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA			PAPER NUMBER
NEW BRUN	NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/099,690	STEVENS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DAVID J ISABELLA	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 September 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Thi	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 38-45,47-64 and 66-73 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 38-45,47-64,66-73 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail Do ) 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/099,690

Art Unit: 3738

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 38-45,47-64,66-73 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6161543.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of Cox, et al'543 is directed to a method of forming a lesion in the heart tissue including the steps of providing at least one ablation device, introducing the ablation device into the patient's chest, positioning the ablation device in contact with an epicardial surface of the heart, ablating the heart tissue to create a lesion. The specification, column 3, utilizes the method on a beating heart. Clearly at the time of the invention, it was known to use this technique on a beating heart as disclosed by Cox, et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/099,690

Art Unit: 3738

Claim 39, the use of a second opening at the time of the invention was known as disclosed in column 13 by Cox, et al.

Claim 40, see column 13 of Cox, et al.

Claims 41-44, see claim 7.

Claims 45, see column 8 of Cox, et al.

Claims 47-64,66-73 are similar in scope to the preceding claims and the rejections to these claims are equally applicable.

Claims 38-45,47-64,66-73 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4-6,9 of U.S. Patent N0.5829447.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of Stevens, et al '447 are directed to a method of forming a lesion in the heart tissue including the steps of providing at least one ablation device, introducing the ablation device into the patient's chest, positioning the ablation device through a first and second opening into the interior chamber of the heart via a percutaneous incision between the ribs without retracting the sternum while the heart is beating.

Claims 47-64,66-73 are similar in scope to the preceding claims and the rejections to these claims are equally applicable.

Claims 38-45,47-64,66-73 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 38-44,57-69 of copending Application No. 10/427438.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of 10/427438 are essentially identical to that of the instant application differing in the ablating device containing an electrode and the creation of an intercostals opening is not required.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

With respect to the double patenting, see attached copy of the Office guidelines from MPEP 804.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/27/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See body of rejection surpa.

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/099,690 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J ISABELLA whose telephone number is 703-308-3060. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/099,690

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAV DJ ISABELL/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738 Page 6

DJI December 13, 2004